



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re	)	Case No. 08-12840-PB11
	)	Adv. No. 10-90475
PFAU, PFAU & PFAU, LLC,	)	
	)	
Debtor.	)	
_____	)	
	)	ORDER ON ORDER TO SHOW
PFAU, PFAU & PFAU, LLC,	)	CAUSE
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
RANCHO MOUNTAIN PROPERTIES,	)	
INC., A Delaware Corporation	)	
FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE	)	
COMPANY and DOES 1 through	)	
100, inclusive,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
_____	)	

This matter came on for evidentiary hearing on the Court's Order to Show Cause regarding possible sanctions for forum shopping by the purported managing member of Pfau, Pfau & Pfau,

1 and by attorney Maynard, whose employment by the debtor has never  
2 been authorized under the Bankruptcy Code or by this Court.

3 This adversary proceeding began as a state court complaint  
4 filed by Pfau, Pfau, & Pfau, against Rancho Mountain Properties,  
5 Inc. Rancho removed the proceedings to this Court because Pfau  
6 is a debtor here. Subsequently, the Court set a hearing on  
7 possible sanctions, which was later heard and taken under  
8 submission. Events since render it no longer necessary that the  
9 Court resolve the issues raised in that proceeding in this  
10 adversary.

11 Specifically, the Chapter 7 trustee for Pfau, and Rancho  
12 Mountain Properties, Inc., through respective counsel, reached a  
13 stipulated resolution of the instant adversary, providing for  
14 dismissal of the adversary with prejudice, and "with all parties  
15 to the Action to bear their own costs." The stipulation was  
16 based on a written Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release of All  
17 Claims, which had previously been approved by the Court.

18 Independent of the foregoing, attorney Maynard filed his own  
19 Chapter 7 petition, and listed Rancho Mountain Properties, Inc.  
20 as an unsecured creditor. Mr. Maynard received his Chapter 7  
21 discharge on or about April 9, 2012, while the events of which  
22 Rancho complained had well preceded the filing of the petition.

23 For the foregoing reasons, the Court concludes that the

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 matter under submission after evidentiary hearing has been  
2 rendered moot by subsequent events.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: APR -3 2013



6  
7 PETER W. BOWIE, Judge  
United States Bankruptcy Court

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26